

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/658,932 665440-100003 09/09/2003 David N. Ku 3113 **EXAMINER** 34026 08/01/2005 **JONES DAY** WILLSE, DAVID H 555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET FIFTIETH FLOOR PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 3738 .

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,932	KU, DAVID N.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dave Willse	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 May 2005.		
•—	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-29 and 34-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-29 and 34-45 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-14-05.		eatent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3738

The Applicant's remarks have been considered. The Applicant apparently asserts that "mechanical elasticity" is the equivalent of stretch or elongation modulus of elasticity. The word "elasticity" is defined as "[t]he tendency of a body to return to its original size or shape, after having been stretched, compressed, or deformed" (*The Wordsworth Dictionary of Science & Technology*, 1995), and there are various types of elasticity, such as elasticity of bulk, elasticity of elongation, and elasticity of shear, along with various types of elastic *moduli*. Neither of the references cited by the Applicant provides a definition of the term "mechanical elasticity" consistent with the use of said term in the present claims, and the Applicant has not provided any evidence that a person of ordinary skill would have understood, at the time of the instant invention, that the original disclosure *requires* that "mechanical elasticity" be equated with *stretch* or *elongation modulus* of elasticity (*Hyatt v. Boone*, 146 F.3d 1348, 1353, 47 USPQ2d 1128, 1131 (Fed Cir. 1998); MPEP 2163). The Applicant's specification repeatedly refers to a "compressive modulus" (e.g., paragraph 0054), but "stretch modulus" or "elongation modulus" is nowhere to be found in the original disclosure.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-29 and 34-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "mechanical elasticity" renders the claims vague, indefinite, and confusing as to the scope, as explained above (MPEP 2111.01). Likewise, "mechanical ultimate strength" (claim 3, line 2) and "elasticity" (claim 23, line 2) are vague and indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,932 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738